1 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION Arizona Corporation Commission **COMMISSIONERS** DOCKETED 3 JEFF HATCH-MILLER, Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL JUN - 1 2005 JUN 0 2 2005 4 MARC SPITZER MIKE GLEASON **DOCKETED BY** 5 KRISTIN K. MAYES IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. T-04261A-05-0169 UNITED AMERICAN TECHNOLOGY, INC. FOR CANCELLATION OF ESTABLISHED DECISION NO. 67884 PERFORMANCE BOND. 8 **ORDER** 9 Open Meeting May 24 and 25, 2005 10 Phoenix, Arizona 11 BY THE COMMISSION: 12 Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the 13 Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") finds, concludes, and orders that: 14 **FINDINGS OF FACT** 15 Pursuant to Decision No. 67578 (February 15, 2005), United American Technology, 1. Inc. ("UAT") was granted a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("Certificate") to provide 16 17 resold interexchange telecommunications services in the State of Arizona. 18 2. Based upon UAT's tariff, which provided that advances, prepayments or deposits would be collected, Decision No. 67578 required UAT to procure a performance bond in the amount 19 20 of \$10,000. 21 On March 9, 2005, UAT filed a Request for Cancellation of Established Performance 3. 22 Bond ("Request") as established in Decision No. 67578 based upon UAT's indication that it will not 23 collect advances, prepayments or deposits from its Arizona customers and that its tariff has been so 24 amended. 25 On April 25, 2005, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed a Staff 4 26 Report recommending approval of UAT's Request and cancellation of the performance bond 27 requirement.

5.

28

Staff recommended, however, that UAT should be required to file an application with

the Commission for approval should UAT seek to collect an advance, prepayment or deposit from its resold interexchange customers at some point in the future. Staff further indicated that such application must reference the Decision in this docket and must explain UAT's plan for procuring a performance bond.

- Staff indicated that UAT has never collected advances, prepayments or deposits from 6. its resold long distance customers such that there is no risk that such funds would be lost should UAT fail to provide service.
- Staff further indicated that it does not believe the continued maintenance of a 7. performance bond to be necessary in this case.
  - No Arizona customers will be affected by the requested cancellation. 8.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- Applicant is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the 1. Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-281 and 40-282.
- The Commission has jurisdiction over Applicant and the subject matter of the 2 application.
  - 3. The cancellation of Applicant's performance bond is in the public interest.
- Staff's recommendations in Findings of Fact Nos. 4 and 5 are reasonable and should 4. be adopted.

26

28

1 **ORDER** IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that United American Technology's performance bond 3 requirement, as set forth in Decision No. 67578, shall be, and is hereby, cancelled. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that United American Technology shall be required to file an 4 application with the Commission for approval should it seek to collect an advance, prepayment or 5 deposit from its resold interexchange customers at some point in the future. 6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately. 7 8 BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION. 9 10 COMMISSIONER 13 14 15 16 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive 17 Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this 18 Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix. this /s+ day of Unae\_\_\_\_, 2005. 19 20 21 BRIAN C/McNEY Executive Secretary 23 DISSENT 24 25 26 DISSENT 27 AP mi 28

DECISION NO. 67884

SERVICE LIST FOR: UNITED AMERICAN TECHNOLOGY, IN DOCKET NO.: T-04261A-05-0169  Jonathan S. Marashlian The Helein Law Group, LLLP 8180 Greensboro Drive Suite 700 McLean. VA 22102  Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel	IC.
Jonathan S. Marashlian The Helein Law Group, LLLP 8180 Greensboro Drive Suite 700 McLean, VA 22102  Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel	
The Helein Law Group, LLLP 8180 Greensboro Drive Suite 700 McLean, VA 22102  Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel	
<ul> <li>8180 Greensboro Drive</li> <li>Suite 700</li> <li>McLean, VA 22102</li> <li>Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>McLean, VA 22102</li> <li>Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel</li> </ul>	
Went stopher Kempley, Chief Counsel	
III. con Devinion	
Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION	
8 Phoenix, AZ 85007	
Ernest G. Johnson, Director Utilities Division	
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION	
1 200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007	
13	
14	
15	
6	
7	
18	
18	

DECISION NO. 67884